

Gina Parle

Subject: FW: LWS selection panel outcome 20/00683/OUT
Attachments: St Edwards Prep School 20_00683_OUT GWT mitigation response.pdf

From: Juliet Hynes [<mailto:juliet.hynes@gloucestershirewildlifetrust.co.uk>]
Sent: 02 September 2020 10:13
To: Emma Pickernell
Subject: LWS selection panel outcome 20/00683/OUT

Dear Emma,

The Local Wildlife Site (LWS) Selection Panel met on 01/09/2020 to consider the proposal for St Edwards Prep School Meadow to be a LWS.

In addition to me providing secretariat, the LWS Selection Panel consists of a representative from each of the following:

Gloucestershire Naturalists' Society
Gloucestershire Wildlife Trust
A Gloucestershire Local Planning Authority

The Panel agreed that the site passes the Gloucestershire Local Wildlife Site Selection Criteria 2015 under point 9. Value for learning – “*c. the site is exceptionally well-placed to offer educational opportunities either by its proximity to a school or other place of learning, or its easy accessibility for study of the species and habitats present without causing unacceptable damage or disturbance*”. The site is part of the land currently leased to the St Edwards Prep School and therefore by its proximity to the school and range of habitats and species on the site, it is exceptionally well placed to provide accessibility for study. Whether the school currently uses the site for nature study or not, is not a decision point as the LWS criteria aim to protect sites to maintain benefit into the future and changes in curriculum or personnel could easily result in change of attitude towards use of the site. LWS may be selected purely on the grounds of excellence for the understanding of biodiversity, even where other criteria are not met.

The Panel also consider that the site may also pass the criteria for MG1 grassland plant species. Two sets of plant species data that were provided for the site by Aspect Ecology (applicants representative) and Bioscan (Friends of Charlton Kings representative) differ but between them provide a match for 22 species from table H5c of the LWS criteria. Under normal circumstances we would conduct our own independent survey at the correct time of year to decide whether the grassland passes the criteria based on plant species. However due to the timescale associate with the planning application this is not possible.

The site will be recorded on the LWS database as St Edwards Prep School Meadow.

Kind regards
Juliet

Dr Juliet Hynes
Nature Recovery Network Coordinator
Gloucestershire Wildlife Trust
Conservation Centre, Robinswood Hill Country Park,
Reservoir Road, Gloucester, GL4 6SX
Main Switchboard: 01452 383333
Please note new number Mobile: 07485 307217
www.gloucestershirewildlifetrust.co.uk

 [@gloswildlife](https://twitter.com/gloswildlife)  [/gloswildlife](https://www.facebook.com/gloswildlife)  [/gloswildlife](https://www.instagram.com/gloswildlife)



Gloucestershire Wildlife Trust
Robinswood Hill Country Park
Reservoir Road
Gloucester
GL4 6SX

Planning
Cheltenham Borough Council
Municipal Offices
Promenade
Cheltenham
Gloucestershire
GL50 9SA

info@gloucestershiREWildlifeTrust.co.uk

www.gloucestershiREWildlifeTrust.co.uk

Telephone: 01452 383333

Registered charity number: 232580
Registered in England number: 708575

1st Sept 2020

20/00683/OUT | Outline application for 43 dwellings including access, layout and scale, with all other matters reserved for future consideration | Land Adjacent To Oakhurst Rise Cheltenham Gloucestershire

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to provide Gloucestershire Wildlife Trust's (GWT) comments regarding the biodiversity mitigation proposed in application 20/00683/OUT.

The grassland on site has been assessed in the applicant's ecological report as of poor quality semi natural grassland. However, there are as they mention, a number of indicators of unimproved neutral grassland, a resource for which we only have 1677ha recorded in the county (0.6% of the county by area). Though these indicators are in some cases infrequent in the sward, they and the historic of lack of agricultural improvement of the site, do suggest that if the site were to be more appropriately managed, e.g. appropriately timed annual hay cut and removal of the arisings, the site would be likely to develop to a more unimproved grassland quality.

The ecological report enhancement measure EE2 talks of grassland creation, however, in the response to Friends of Charlton Kings (20_00683_OUT-ECOLOGY_BRIEFING_NOTE_ON_BEHALF_OF_THE_APPLICANT-1076642.pdf) the consultant clarifies that existing grassland will be retained and enhanced. GWT would endorse the retention of the grassland intact and enhancement of the sward through local provenance seed. The ladies bedstraw is not widespread across the site, being mainly in a couple of large patches to the north west of the ice house. Attempt should be made to preserve this in situ.





The largest area of retained grassland, being retained for school use, will not have public access. This gives greater confidence that under enhancement and correct management a more species rich sward should develop. It should be made clear to residents that this area has no public access and fencing should be such to ensure it is not easy to gain access. Management should consider the requirements of both the enhancement of plant species diversity but also the maintenance of invertebrate species recorded on the site such as chimney-sweeper moth and five spot burnet moth.

The site has been confirmed to pass the Local wildlife Site (LWS) selection criteria by the Gloucestershire LWS selection panel (01/09/2020) on the grounds of Value for Learning, being a good selection of habitats and species exceptionally well-placed to offer educational opportunities by its proximity to a school. The mitigation plan will enable the continuation of part of the site to meet the same criteria, however, GWT would like to see conditions in place such as a conservation covenant to ensure the undeveloped area of the site is secured for biodiversity in perpetuity.

For areas accessible to residents the outcome of grassland enhancement is of lower confidence as access by dog walkers is likely to result in nutrient enrichment and compaction.

GWT's view that the mitigation and enhancements for the habitats and species recorded on site are adequate to achieve no net loss of biodiversity.

Kind regards

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Juliet Hynes'.

Dr Juliet Hynes

Nature Recovery Network Coordinator

